## **Burney Elementary**

# California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

**Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)** 

District Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)				
District Name	Fall River Joint Unified			
Phone Number	(530) 335-4538			
Superintendent	Greg Hawkins			
E-mail Address	<u>ghawkins@frjusd.org</u>			
Web Site	http://www.frjusd.org			

School Contact Information	School Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)				
School Name	Burney Elementary				
Street	37403 Toronto St.				
City, State, Zip	Burney, Ca, 96013-4381				
Phone Number	530-335-2279				
Principal	Marcy Schmidt, Principal				
E-mail Address	mschmidt@frjusd.org				
Web Site	http://www.frjusd.org				
County-District-School (CDS) Code	45699896050272				

Last updated: 2/5/2018

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Burney Elementary School (BES) is located in eastern Shasta County approximately 55 east of Redding on Highw ay 299 East. The town of Burney has a population of about 3,300 and is the most urban of the rural communities served by the Fall River Joint Unified School

Burney Elementary opened in 1952.


The mission of BES is to provide a safe and secure environment in which students are free to pursue a comprehensive curriculum in order to achieve their maximum potential. Our definition of a comprehensive curriculum includes all aspects of school life, academic, social, co and extracurricular.

The Board of Trustees of the Fall River Joint Unified School District believes that it is the responsibility of the school and home, working together, to provide a positive environment for students within which they may:

- Realize potentials for learning
- Develop and maintain basic skills and concepts
- Develop an understanding of responsibilities to self, to family and to community
- Develop creativity
- Enjoy learning and become lifelong learners
- Respect and appreciate different cultures
- Respect and appreciate the American heritage
- Learn in an environment that fosters a feeling of mutual respect and tolerance
- Learn in a safe and positive environment

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	75
Grade 1	50
Grade 2	52
Grade 3	49
Grade 4	61
Grade 5	53
Grade 6	55
Total Enrollment	395



Last updated: 2/5/2018

## Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	11.4 %
Asian	0.3 %
Filipino	0.0 %
Hispanic or Latino	18.7 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3 %
White	62.0 %
Two or More Races	5.8 %
Other	1.5 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66.3 %
English Learners	6.8 %
Students with Disabilities	11.6 %
Foster Youth	0.8 %

# A. Conditions of Learning


# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
  School facilities are maintained in good repair

### **Teacher Credentials**


Teachers	School			District
	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18	2017- 18
With Full Credential	18	18	17	70
Without Full Credential	1	2	1	4
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0



Last updated: 2/5/2018

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which the data were collected: October 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Adoption 2010 McMillan McGraw Hill California Treasures for Grades K-6	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	2014 Adoption Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Math Expressions Grade K-6	Yes	0.0 %
Science	2007 Adoption Delta Education, Inc. Full Option Science System (FOSS) for Grades K-5 Glencoe McGraw -Hill Glencoe Science Focus on Series CA Edition - Focus on Earth Science Grade 6	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	2006 Adoption Houghton Mifflin Scoial Science Grades K-5 Glencoe McGraw Hill Social Science Grade 6 2005 Adoption Houghton Mifflin Social Science, 2007 Grades K-6		0.0 %
Foreign Language			0.0 %
Health			0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Last updated: 2/5/2018

#### **School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements**

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. An online work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency

repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

## School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2017

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	Paint and carpet needed in some rooms
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Southeast exterior door needs to be replaced

### **Overall Facility Rate**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2017

Overall Rating

Good

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAS] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students**

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

	Per	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards						
Subject	School		District		State			
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17		
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	30%	28%	43%	43%	48%	48%		
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	23%	21%	34%	31%	36%	37%		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
219	215	98.17%	27.91%
105	103	98.10%	20.39%
114	112	98.25%	34.82%
27	27	100.00%	
44	44	100.00%	25.00%
131	128	97.71%	34.38%
13	12	92.31%	16.67%
147	146	99.32%	19.86%
18	18	100.00%	22.22%
37	37	100.00%	16.22%
	219 105 114 27  44  131 131 13 147 18 37 	219       215         105       103         114       112         27       27             44       44             131       128         133       12         147       146         18       18         37       37	219       215       98.17%         105       103       98.10%         114       112       98.25%         27       27       100.00%              44       44       100.00%         -131       128       97.71%         133       12       92.31%         147       146       99.32%         18       18       100.00%              37       37       100.00%

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**

### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	218	214	98.17%	21.03%
Male	104	101	97.12%	21.78%
Female	114	113	99.12%	20.35%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native	27	27	100.00%	22.22%
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100.00%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	130	126	96.92%	26.19%
Two or More Races	13	13	100.00%	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	147	146	99.32%	15.07%
English Learners	18	18	100.00%	
Students with Disabilities	37	36	97.30%	25.00%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

#### Grades Five, Eight and Ten

		Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	Sch	School		District		State	
Subject	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	29.0%	32.0%	52.0%	55.0%	56%	54%	

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

	Perc	entage of Students Meeting Fitness Standa	rds
Grade Level	Four of Six Fitness Standards	Five of Six Fitness Standards	Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	24.5%	32.7%	8.2%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)**

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. We believe that parents play a very important role through their active participation and involvement in School Site Council, PTA, Advisory Committees, various events, and as classroom volunteers.

Beginning with Back-to School night, which is held annually, parents are invited to visit the school and observe the many activities available for students. Being part of a small community, Burney Elementary is a focal point and center for students, their parents and the community.

Communication between school and home is made using several methods, including the Schoool Messenger Automated Caller System, District and School websites, emails, text messaging, bulletins, school mailings, and the community new sletter.


# **State Priority: Pupil Engagement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

#### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

	School			District			State		
Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	10.7%	9.7%
Graduation Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	86.5%	82.7%	80.9%	81.0%	82.3%	83.8%




# **State Priority: School Climate**


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

	School				District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Suspensions	3.7%	6.4%	5.0%	8.7%	8.1%	6.9%	3.8%	3.7%	3.7%	
Expulsions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	





#### Last updated: 2/5/2018

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Burney Elementary has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not in PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.0%

#### Last updated: 2/5/2018

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	2014-15			2015-16				2016-17				
		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Number of Classes *		
Grade Level	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	19.0	1	2	0	21.0	1	2	0	25.0	0	3	0
1	24.0	0	2	0	24.0	0	2	0	21.0	1	1	0
2	25.0	0	2	0	26.0	0	2	0	18.0	2	1	0
3	22.0	0	2	0	27.0	0	2	0	17.0	2	1	0
4	26.0	0	2	0	24.0	0	2	0	25.0	0	2	0
5	22.0	0	2	0	26.0	0	2	0	23.0	0	2	0
6	25.0	0	2	0	17.0	2	1	0	22.0	0	2	0
Other	16.0	1	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	20.0	1	0	0

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Last updated: 2/7/2018

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.4	N/A
Psychologist	0.1	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	N/A
Other	1.0	N/A

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 2/5/2018

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$4944.0	\$796.0	\$4148.0	\$45632.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$8872.0	\$54206.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	72.6%	17.2%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574.0	\$62381.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	45.3%	31.0%


Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.


- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

Last updated: 2/5/2018

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,603	\$41,164
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$54,658	\$61,818
Highest Teacher Salary	\$74,039	\$84,567
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$93,250	\$96,125
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$	\$103,336
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$103,445	\$101,955
Superintendent Salary	\$146,545	\$126,855
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	33.0%	32.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	6.0%





For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### Last updated: 2/5/2018

## **Professional Development**

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies.