Fall River Elementary School Single Plan for Student Achievement

Name of District: Fall River Joint Unified School District Name of Superintendent: Larry Snelling

Name of School: Fall River Elementary Name of Principal: Chris Knoch

Name of Provider: Fall River Elementary School Site Council **Lead Name:** Jeff Cook, SSC President

Phone: (530) 336-5551 Email Address: jeff@springrivers.com

Members of the School Site Council:

Chris Knoch, Principal Kathi Conner, Parent & Secretary

Linda Corr, 1st grade teacher and Vice President

Stacey Bower, 5th grade teacher

Cindy Coulter, Parent Debbie Mayer, Parent Stacey Gallion, Parent

Jennifer Thompson, Parent

Diane Maupin, Classified-Other

Jeff Cook, Parent & President

Theresa Tucker, 3rd grade teacher

Michelle Corder, 3rd grade teacher

Members of the Continuous School Improvement Team:

Chris Knoch Amv McKee Michelle Corder Stacey Bower Lisa Bernal Laurel Cordova

Documentation utilized to complete the School Plan for Student Achievement: Academic Program Survey, School Site Council, teachers, site administrator. Data analyzed, API, AYP, CSTs, attendance, curriculum-embedded assessment results, school safety reports.

School Profile: Fall River Elementary School is located in the Fall River Joint Unified School District in the town of Fall River Mills in Shasta County. The school is one of six in the district. The school serves 279 students in Kindergarten through 6th grades. At Fall River Elementary School, parents play very important roles through their active participation and involvement in School Site Council, District English Language Advisory Committee, various annual events and special activities, and regular volunteering of their services in and out of the classrooms.

SPSA 2009/2010

Fall River Elementary is served by 13 classroom teachers, 1.5 FTE special education teachers, two Title I teachers, 0.5 FTE speech therapist, a district ESL teacher, a district psychologist, a district nurse, support staff, and paraprofessionals.

The children who attend Fall River Elementary School live in the relatively isolated ranching and farming communities of Fall River Mills, McArthur, Day, Pittville, Little Valley, Dana, Glenburn, Cassel, Hat Creek, and Old Station. Except some of the students who live in Fall River Mills, all students are bussed to-and-from school.

The relative isolation of the communities that are served by Fall River Elementary School has helped to create a school population of diverse backgrounds and needs. This population is served by a variety of categorical programs: Title I; State Compensatory Education; Migrant Education; Gifted and Talented; Title IV-B Indian Education and American Indian Education; and ESL Program.

Fall River Elementary School staff, parents and community strive to work together to create programs that produce articulate, confident, and skilled students. There is a strong focus on a well-balanced education for every student with high standards aimed at excellence and student empowerment. The arts are integrated into the regular classrooms and *these* help to promote a whole school family atmosphere with an emphasis on common values for all.

All classrooms have at least two computers that are networked and online as well as an interactive SMART Board. We have a computer lab that has 32 computers that are also networked and online. Teachers use these computers to access programs such as Accelerated Math, Accelerated Reader, and Edusoft.

Fall River Elementary School opened in 1932 and is in its 77th year of operation. It is a center for students, their parents, and the community.

Process for Change: The staff, parents, students and district office administration embrace the opportunity to participate in the continual improvement of student achievement to meet our annual AYP and API target goals. A team was formed to oversee the process and to monitor the school's progress in meeting the benchmarks developed in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). The process began with the School Site Council reviewing to understand the Essential Program Components (EPC) and the Academic Program Survey (APS). FRE School Site Council has continued to ask for input by administering a school-wide needs assessment to staff, parents, and students. Members of the current improvement team include teachers, parents and site administrator. The district continuous school improvement team also includes the superintendent, director of special education and administrative assistant. The superintendent completed the District Assistance Survey. Staff, parent and administrator focus groups were held to ensure a comprehensive perspective of the school was achieved. Members of the Improvement team met to identify corrective actions to remedy the findings from student performance data, the APS and DAS, and the focus groups and to develop the new SPSA.

Key Findings as of November 2009 APS –

•	English/Language Arts and Mathematics curriculum is being fully implemented in all classrooms.
	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	Our intensive English Language Arts intervention program is fully implemented 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	Our Math boost program is implemented 4 days a week.
	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	Our instructional minutes for English/Language Arts, Mathematics and Intervention programs are meeting the recommended daily minutes.
	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	All of our teachers have been trained in SB 472 English/Language Arts and Mathematics.
	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	We are formally collaborating regularly every six to eight weeks to review assessment data, determine student progress and modify instruction.
	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	We have access to content experts for English/Language Arts and Mathematics 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	We do use a formalized collaboration model with agendas, minutes and summaries.
•	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	We do have a grade-level pacing schedule in place for English/Language Arts or Mathematics.
	- 10/11 SY; 11/12 SY
•	Principal has attended AB75 principal's training
•	- 10/11 SY - : 11/12 SY
	= 10/11 01 = . 17/2 01

Form A: Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The school site council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet API and AYP growth targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of student groups not meeting state standards:

SCHOOL GOAL #1. Instructional Program

1.1 By Spring, 2010, increase the percent of students who score Proficient or Advanced on the STAR English Language Arts assessment to 56.8% in each grade level.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
2 nd – 6 th grade students	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
 Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Evidence provided in the form of walkthroughs, lesson plans, checklists, conference, district benchmark assessments, and CST results. 	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data, and district benchmark assessments

	ons to be Taken to Reach This Goal ¹ onsider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ² Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ³	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Н	mplement all the components of the Houghton Mifflin adopted program with idelity.	ongoing			
1.1.2 P	Provide RSP classroom with copies of all grade level themes	ongoing when adoptions change			

SCHOOL GOAL #1. Instructional Program

1.2 The school provides State Board Adopted (SBE) reading/language arts intervention program texts for identified students documented to be in use in every reading intervention programs, documented to be in daily use in every intervention classroom with materials for every participating student.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – SRA/Reach is being implemented daily.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: Students placed as "strategic" or "intensive" status – performing below grade level.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: 2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Review of Title I, RSP, and all class schedules.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data, and district benchmark assessments

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁴ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁵ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁶	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
1.2.1	Continued collaboration between Title I teachers and RSP teacher regarding intervention programs.	ongoing			Instructional
1.2.2	RTI Program to continue implementation.	ongoing			Materials
1.2.3	Continue implement the Reading Mastery (SRA) intervention program for $K - 2^{nd}$ grades.	ongoing		\$3000	Restricted Lotteries
1.2.4 1.2.5	Paraprofessionals Title I Teacher	ongoing ongoing		\$37,000/yr \$71,000/yr	

SCHOOL GOAL #1. Instructional Program

1.3 The school provides supplemental programs, intervention programs, staffing support and training in math curriculums to be used during intervention programs, as assessment tools and for daily use school wide.

Findings: We want to maintain our continued growth and continue to meet our AYP targets.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: 2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Review our CST's annually and monitor the effectiveness of programs and assessments through teacher/staff/student feedback.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data, and district benchmark assessments

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁷ Consider all appropriate dimensions		Start Date ⁸ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁹	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
1.	Accel Test puts test taking in a similar format to standardized testing.	Ongoing	Accel Test & Accelerated	\$200/yr.	Title 1 EIA
2.	Accelerated Math puts test taking in a similar format to standardize testing and format	Ongoing	Math software, ESP, Copy paper, scan cards, Magic Rub erasers, #2 pencils, printer ink, pocket folders, computer	\$1166.67/yr	Block Grant Restricted Lotteries
3.	Health – Adequate rest, Good nutritious snacks = better health	Ongoing	hardware i.e. server, hubs, switches, printers, scanners,	No cost	
4.	Awards – Awards given to students who meet academic goals.	2 weeks before annual testing	etc. Principal's bulletin addressing	\$250	
5.	Title I Teacher(s) – Teacher works with lowest level of students in math.	Ongoing	test taking strategies	\$70,913.5/yr	
6.	Math Facts in a Flash	Ongoing	Salary, benefits, & substitutes	\$277.20/yr	
7.	Paraprofessionals	Ongoing	Computers, software	\$37,000/yr	
			Salaries, benefits, substitutes		

SCHOOL GOAL #1. Instructional Program

1.4 The school provides supplemental programs, intervention programs, staffing support and training in reading/language arts curriculums to be used during intervention programs, as assessment tools and for daily use school wide.

Findings: Current AYP scores indicate that the SES and Hispanic students at our school are not meeting AYP requirements in English Language Arts.

requirements in English Language 111 ts.	
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Review our CST's annually and monitor the effectiveness of programs and assessments through teacher/staff/student feedback.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data, and district benchmark assessments

	Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ¹⁰ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ¹¹ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ¹²	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
1.	Renaissance Learning Products, i.e. Accelerated Reader, STAR Reading, Grammar & Spelling, Fluent Reader, English in a Flash - puts test taking in a similiar format as standardized tests. Students are assessed immediately on reading comprehension.	Ongoing	Printers, library quizzes, book labels, book tape, computer hardware, copy paper, printer ink, Scanners, etc., software, ESP, trade books	\$2000/yr.	Title 1 Block Grant EIA
2.	Health – Adequate rest, Good nutritious snacks = better health	2 weeks before annual testing	Principal's bulletin addressing test taking strategies	\$50	Title I Block Grant
3.	Teacher Training – Teachers need additional training	Ongoing	Teacher & Staff Development	\$5000	EIA
4.	Reading Specialist/Title I Teacher – Works with K-3 students to bring reading skills up to grade level and works with 4 th -6 th grade students in REACH and 5 th grade ELA to avoid combination classes.	Ongoing	Teacher Salary, benefits, substitutes, Reading materials	\$71,000	Title I Block Grant EIA
5.	Awards – Awards given to students who meet academic goals.	Ongoing		\$250	
6.	REACH/Reading Mastery – Reading Intervention Programs	Ongoing	Consumable workbooks, paper, pencils, Tch materials	\$3000	Title I Block Grant
7.	Paraprofessional – Additional small group reading time	Ongoing	Salaries	\$37,000	EIA

SPSA 2009/2010

SCHOOL GOAL #1. Instructional Program

1.5 The school provides behavioral intervention programs, awards and incentives to increase attendance and participation and decrease suspensions, referrals and bus tickets.

Findings: In 08-09 school year, our school had 203 referrals, 85 days of suspension and 117 bus tickets. In 08-09 school year, FRE's Regular Ed.'s attendance was 94.16%.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:

All students at all grade levels.

Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:

2009-2010 – Reduce the incidents of misbehavior from 203 referrals to 180:

Reduce suspensions from 85 days to 50 days;

Reduce bus tickets from 117 to 90

Increase student attendance by ½%.

<u>2010/2011</u> – Reduce the incidents of misbehavior from 180 referrals to 160;

Reduce suspensions from 50 days to 30 days;

Reduce bus tickets from 90 to 70

Increase student attendance by ½%.

<u>2011/2012</u> - Reduce the incidents of misbehavior from 160 referrals to 140:

Reduce suspensions from 30 days to 25 days;

Reduce bus tickets from 70 to 60

Increase student attendance by ½%.

Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:

- Review student behavior with teachers, bus drivers and support staff.
- Track number of referrals, bus tickets and suspensions.
- Review attendance reports

Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:

Charting of referrals, bus tickets and suspensions. Reviewing attendance reports.

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ¹³ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ¹⁴ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ¹⁵	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Character Counts, Second Step & Wise Skills are all Character Education programs that the teachers will use to incorporate Character Education into their core curriculum.	Ongoing	\$500	\$500	Title I Block Grant
Attendance incentives.	Ongoing	\$100	\$100	BFREF Driscoll's

SPSA 2009/2010

SCHOOL GOAL #1. Instructional Program

1.6 The school provides physical fitness instruction and assessments that are aligned with state frameworks.

Findings: S	Spring 2008 5	th grade physic	al fitness testing results	: Spring 2009 5 th grad	le physical fitness	testing results:
	9	6 In HFZ	% Not in HFZ	9	% In HFZ	% Not in HFZ
Aerobic Ca	pacity	75.0%	25.0%	Aerobic Capacity	75.0%	25.0%
Body Comp	osition	86.4%	13.6%	Body Composition	81.8%	18.2%
Abdominal	Strength	88.6%	11.4%	Abdominal Strength	97.7%	2.3%
Trunk Exter	nsion Strength	93.2%	6.8%	Trunk Extension Strength	100%	0%
Upper Body	Strength	81.8%	18.2%	Upper Body Strength	65.9%	34.1%
Flexibility	_	93.2%	6.8%	Flexibility	88.6%	11.4%

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:

All students at all grade levels.

Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:

2009-2010 Aerobic Capacity increase % in HFZ by 5%; Body Composition increase % in HFZ by 3%; Abdominal Strength increase % in HFZ by 2; Trunk Extension Strength increase % in HFZ by 5%; Upper Body Strength increase % in HFZ by 4%; Flexibility increase % in HFZ by 4%

2010 -2011 Aerobic Capacity increase % in HFZ by 5%; Body Composition increase % in HFZ by 3%; Abdominal Strength increase % in HFZ by 2%; Trunk Extension Strength increase % in HFZ by 5%; Upper Body Strength increase % in HFZ by 4%; Flexibility increase % in HFZ by 4%

2011-2012 Aerobic Capacity increase % in HFZ by 5%; Body Composition increase % in HFZ by 3%; Abdominal Strength increase % in HFZ by 2%; Trunk Extension Strength increase % in HFZ by 5%; Upper Body Strength increase % in HFZ by 4%; Flexibility increase % in HFZ by 4%

Means of evaluating progress toward this goal:

- Annual state physical fitness exams.
- Parent volunteer school wide assessments.

Group data to be collected to measure academic gains:

Performance scores on physical fitness tests.

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ¹⁶ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ¹⁷ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ¹⁸	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
Physical fitness instruction & assessments will be aligned with state frameworks.	Ongoing			Block Grant
SPARK Program				BFREF Driscoll's
Encourage Healthy Eating				

SPSA 2009/2010

SCHOOL GOAL # 2. Instructional Time

2.1 The school/district complies with and monitors implementation of instructional time for the adopted programs for reading/language arts. This time should be given priority and be protected from interruptions.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully –The classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students in the adopted reading/language arts "core" program.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All students in all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: 2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Review of school wide and classroom schedules.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ¹⁹ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ²⁰ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ²¹	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
2.1.1	All teachers evaluate instructional time in reading language arts daily.	ongoing			
2.1.2	Maintain instructional time to meet the objectives.	ongoing			

SCHOOL GOAL # 2. Instructional Time

2.2 School provides the following additional time for reading/language arts students taking the intervention reading program.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – 100% of the classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students taking the intervention reading program.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
Students receiving Reading/Language Arts intervention programs.	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Review of K – 2 grade classroom schedules.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ²² Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ²³ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ²⁴	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
2.2.1	Continue intervention for $K - 2$ grades for full year.	Ongoing			
2.2.2	Ensure intervention time for all grade levels K-2.	Ongoing			

SCHOOL GOAL # 2. Instructional Time

2.3 School provides the following time allocations for mathematics. This time should be given priority and be protected from interruptions.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – The classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students in mathematics.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Review of classroom schedules.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ²⁵ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ²⁶ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ²⁷	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
2.3.1. Maintain schedules and revise as needed to ensure daily minutes being met.	ongoing			

SCHOOL GOAL # 2. Instructional Time

2.4 School provides the following additional time for mathematics students needing intervention.

Findings: APS Rating -Fully - 100% of the classrooms have the appropriate time allocations for students who need additional instruction and practice in mathematics.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: 2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or		
	Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced		
 Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Review of classroom schedules. Research intervention programs for Kindergarten 	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.		

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ²⁸ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ²⁹ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ³⁰	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
2.4.1	All teachers evaluate time of intervention programs and implement 15 minutes per day.	ongoing			

SCHOOL GOAL # 4. Credentialed Teachers and Professional Development Opportunity

4.2 The district provides the school's teachers (in all grade levels/programs) the AB 466 (SB 472, Pending) Professional Development Program through a state board authorized provider. The training features the district's adopted basic program and/or intervention programs for reading/language arts for each teacher's grade level or program level. Findings: APS Rating – Substantially – Most of the school's teachers have completed the AB 466 (SB 472, Pending) training in reading/language arts.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: 2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Registration of teachers and attendance verification.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ³¹ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ³² Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ³³	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
4.2.1	Investigate AB 472 training available during 2010-2011 school year.	January 2011	\$12,750.00	\$850/teacher	Title I
4.2.2	Register teachers for training.	January 2011			Title II Part A

SCHOOL GOAL # 4. Credentialed Teachers and Professional Development Opportunity

4.3 The district provides the school's teachers (in all grade levels) the AB 466 (SB 472, Pending) Professional Development Program through a State Board-authorized provider. The training features the district's adopted core program for mathematics for each teacher's grade level or program level.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – All of the school's teachers have completed the AB 466 (SB 472, Pending) training in mathematics.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Registration of teachers and attendance verification.	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ³⁴ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ³⁵ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ³⁶	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
4.3.1	Any new teachers confirm they receive training	Ongoing	\$850/teacher	\$850/teacher	Title II Part A
					AB 472

SCHOOL GOAL # 5. Student Achievement Monitoring System

5.1 The school/district has an assessment and monitoring system (e.g., every 6-8 weeks curriculum-embedded assessments), which may include assessments available as part of the adopted program. These assessments inform teachers and principals on student progress and effectiveness of instruction. These curriculum-embedded assessments are based on the adopted reading/language arts program. The purpose of these assessments is to provide timely data to teachers and principals to make decisions that will improve instruction and student achievement. In addition, they will provide a basis for the monitoring system.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – Reading/language arts curriculum-embedded assessments are in regular use at the school.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: 2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
 Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Minutes taken during collaborative grade level meetings. Summaries of curriculum-embedded assessment data and proposed strategies to re-teach "strategic" and "intensive" students. 	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	to be Taken to Reach This Goal ³⁷ ider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ³⁸ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ³⁹	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
to re	teachers collaborate every 6-8 weeks eview student curriculum-embedded essment data to determine student gress and modify instruction.	ongoing	Sub pay for grade level collaboration time every 6-8 weeks	\$95/day	Title II Part A Title I

SCHOOL GOAL # 6. Ongoing Instructional Assistance and Support for Teachers

6.1 The school/district provides instructional assistance and support to teachers of reading/language arts. Some possible options include: coaches/content experts who are knowledgeable about the adopted program, and who work inside the classroom to support teachers and deepen their knowledge about the content and the delivery of instruction, and specialists who have experience coaching teachers and who are knowledgeable about the adopted program.

Findings: APS Rating – Partially – The school/district provides limited instructional assistance to support teachers in delivering reading/language arts instruction using the adopted materials.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Log of classroom observations and coaching tips	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁴⁰ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁴¹ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁴²	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
6.1.1	Train a support person (i.e., coach) and provide time/substitute teachers for this person to allow coaching time in reading/language arts	ongoing	Sub pay for periodic peer coaching and support	\$95/day	Title II Part A Title I
6.1.3	Explore cognitive coaching training for more qualified onsite reading coaching. Offer school wide opportunity for teachers to observe peers on an as need basis.	ongoing	Cognitive coaching training (cognitivecoaching.com)	\$15,000+	

SCHOOL GOAL # 6. Ongoing Instructional Assistance and Support for Teachers

6.2 The school/district provides instructional assistance and support to teachers of mathematics. The possible options are the same as above with specialists in mathematics.

Findings: APS Rating – Partially – The school/district provides limited instructional assistance to support teachers in delivering mathematics instruction using the adopted materials.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Log of classroom observations and coaching tips	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁴³ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁴⁴ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁴⁵	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
6.2.1	Train a support person (i.e., coach) and provide time/substitute teachers for this person to allow coaching time in mathematics	ongoing	Sub pay for periodic peer coaching and support	\$95/day	Title II Part A Title I
6.2.2	Explore cognitive coaching training for more qualified onsite reading coaching. Offer school wide opportunity for teachers to observe peers on an as need basis.	ongoing ongoing	Cognitive coaching training (cognitivecoaching.com)	\$15,000+	

SCHOOL GOAL # 7. Monthly Collaboration by Grade Level or Program Level for Teachers Facilitated by the Principal 7.1 The school/district facilitates and supports teacher grade level (K-6) collaboration in order to plan and discuss lesson delivery (based on curriculum-embedded assessment data) for the adopted program in reading/language arts (e.g., use of regularly scheduled meetings focused on lesson delivery [preferably two, one-hour meetings per month]). Findings: APS Rating – Fully – The school/district provides regular opportunities for teachers to collaborate by grade level or program level around curriculum-embedded assessment data, issues of data review, instructional planning and lesson delivery in reading/language arts.								
Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:							
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced							
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Agenda, minutes and summary of collaborative grade-level meeting (e.g., review of assessment data, pacing guide).	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.							

A	ctions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁴⁶ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁴⁷ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁴⁸	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
7.1.1	Continue with formalized collaboration time in reading/language arts.	Ongoing			

7.2 The school/district facilitates and supports teacher grade level (K-6) collaboration in order to plan and discuss lesson delivery (based on curriculum-embedded assessment data) for the adopted program in mathematics (e.g., use of regularly scheduled meetings focused on lesson delivery [preferably two, one-hour meetings per month]). Findings: APS Rating – Fully – The school/district provides regular opportunities for teachers to collaborate by grade level or program level around curriculum-embedded assessment data, issues of data review, instructional planning and lesson delivery in mathematics. Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: All students at all grade levels. 2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: • Agenda, minutes and summary of collaborative grade-CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made level meeting (e.g., review of assessment data, pacing assessment data disaggregated by subgroups. guide) **Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal**⁴⁹ **Funding Estimated Proposed Expenditures**⁵¹ Start Date⁵⁰ **Consider all appropriate dimensions** Cost Source

SCHOOL GOAL # 7. Monthly Collaboration by Grade Level or Program Level for Teachers Facilitated by the Principal

	Completion Date		
7.2.1. Continue formalize collaboration time in mathematics.	Ongoing		

SCHOOL GOAL # 8. Lesson Pacing Schedule

8.1 The school/district prepares and distributes an annual district/school wide pacing schedule for each grade level (K-6) for the reading/language arts program in order for all teachers to know when each lesson is expected to be taught and in what sequence to ensure content coverage.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – A district/school wide pacing schedule for the reading/language arts program has been distributed to few of the grade levels or instructional levels offered at the school.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal: Anticipated annual performance growth for each group: All students at all grade levels. 2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced **2011/2012** – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: • Documented pacing schedules by grade level for CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made Houghton Mifflin English Language Arts curriculum (Kassessment data disaggregated by subgroups. 5) and Prentice Hall curriculum (6th grade) by month for the academic school year. Walkthroughs, checklists, lesson plans and verbal confirmation.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁵² Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁵³ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁵⁴	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
8.1.1	Teachers collaborate to develop grade- level pacing schedules (including assessment windows, reporting periods and holidays) for reading/ language arts and distribute copies school wide.	Ongoing to update annually.			
8.1.2	Implement school wide pacing schedule for reading/language arts.	Ongoing to update annually.			

SCHOOL GOAL #8. Lesson Pacing Schedule

8.2 The school/district prepares and distributes an annual district/school wide pacing schedule for each grade level (K-6) for the mathematics program in order for all teachers to know when each lesson is expected to be taught and in what sequence to ensure content coverage.

Findings: APS Rating – Fully – A district/school wide pacing schedule for the mathematics program has been distributed to few of the grade levels or instructional levels offered at the school.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
All students at all grade levels.	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
 Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Documented pacing schedules by grade level for Houghton Mifflin Mathematics curriculum (K-3) and Saxon curriculum (4-6) by month for the academic school year. Walkthroughs, checklists, lesson plans and verbal confirmation. 	Group data to be collected to measure academic gains: CST, curriculum-embedded assessments, teacher made assessment data disaggregated by subgroups.

	tions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁵⁵ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁵⁶ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁵⁷	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
8.2.1	Teachers collaborate to develop grade- level pacing schedules (including assessment windows, reporting periods and holidays) for mathematics and distribute copies school wide.	Ongoing – update annually			
8.2.2	Implement school wide pacing schedule for mathematics.	Ongoing – update annually			

SCHOOL GOAL # 10. Overcoming Challenges to Program Implementation

10.1 The school/district will revise and/or develop a new paraprofessional training program.

Findings: Due to the fact that there are new hires, our paraprofessional program needs revision for better service delivery in ELA and math.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS	MATHEMATICS
All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
 Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Teacher input (verbal and written feedback). Facilitator feedback. Formalized paraprofessional feedback form. 	Group data to be collected to a CST, curriculum-embedded asso assessment data disaggregated b	essments, teacher made

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁵⁸ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁵⁹ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁶⁰	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
10.1.1 Evaluate the program and revise as needed.	Ongoing		\$10/hour (x12) with a total of 4, one-hour training sessions. \$30/hour for ten hours of prep/training and feedback	

SCHOOL GOAL # 10. Overcoming Challenges to Program Implementation

10.2 The school/district will provide school-based support systems to supplement parent/home support.

Findings: Some students come to school unprepared and with unfinished homework.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS	<u>MATHEMATICS</u>
All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: • Feedback forms with after-school program.	Group data to be collected to a CST, curriculum-embedded asset assessment data disaggregated b	essments, teacher made

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁶¹ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁶² Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁶³	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
10.2.1 Collaborate with after-school program to target completion of homework and other academic need areas.	Ongoing			

SCHOOL GOAL # 10. Overcoming Challenges to Program Implementation

10.3 The school/district will collaborate with Project Share (the after-school program provided by Shasta County Office of Education) to supplement and enrich our social studies, science, music and arts program.

Findings: School wide concentration on reading/language arts and mathematics curriculum has limited our ability to further enrich students in areas of science, social studies, art and music.

Student groups and grade levels to participate in this goal:	ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS	MATHEMATICS
All students at all grade levels.	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:	Anticipated annual performance growth for each group:
	2009-2010 – 56.8% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 67.6% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 78.4% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced	2009-2010 – 58% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2010/2011 – 68.5% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced 2011/2012 – 79.0% of all students and subgroups are Proficient or Advanced
 Means of evaluating progress toward this goal: Feedback forms with after-school program. After-school program agenda. Staff walkthroughs. 	Group data to be collected to a CST, curriculum-embedded associated assessment data disaggregated by	essments, teacher made

Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal ⁶⁴ Consider all appropriate dimensions	Start Date ⁶⁵ Completion Date	Proposed Expenditures ⁶⁶	Estimated Cost	Funding Source
10.3.1 Collaborate with after-school program to provide enrichment programs in areas of social studies, science, art and music.	Ongoing			