
California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year

Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.



About This School

Contact Information - Most Recent Year

School		District		
School Name	Burney Junior-Senior High	District Name	Fall River Joint Unified	
Street	37571 Mountain View Road	Phone Number	(530) 335-4538	
City, State, Zip	Burney, Ca, 96013	Web Site	http://www.frjusd.org	
Phone Number	530-335-4576	Superintendent First Name	Greg	
Principal	Ray Guerrero	Superintendent Last Name	Hawkins	
E-mail Address	rguerrero@frjusd.org	E-mail Address	<u>ghaw kins@frjusd.org</u>	
Web Site	http://www.frjusd.org			
County-District- School (CDS) Cod	45699894531000 e			Last updated: 1/30/2015

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)


Burney Junior- Senior High School serves students in seventh through twelfth grades. The school is located in eastern Shasta County on Highway 299 East, approximately 55 east of Redding. The town of Burney has a population of 3,300 and is the most urban of the rural communities served by the Fall River Joint Unified School District.

The Board of Trustees of the Fall River Joint Unified School District believes that it is the responsibility of the school and home, working together, to provide a positive environment for students within which they may:

- Realize potentials for learning
- Develop and maintain basic skills and concepts
- Develop an understanding of responsibilities to self, to family, and to community
- Develop creativity
- Enjoy learning and become lifelong learners
- Respect and appreciate different cultures
- Respect and appreciate the American heritage
- Learn in an environment that fosters a feeling of mutual respect and tolerance
- Learn in a safe and positive environment

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)


Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	48
Grade 8	47
Grade 9	35
Grade 10	39
Grade 11	24
Grade 12	37
Total Enrollment	230



Last updated: 1/27/2015

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	13.5
Asian	0.4
ilipino	1.3
Hispanic or Latino	18.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
Vhite	63.9
wo or More Races	1.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	59.1
English Learners	3.0
Students with Disabilities	12.2



A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District	
	2012- 13	2013- 14	2014- 15	2014- 15	
With Full Credential	14	13	14	67	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1	
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	1	2	



Last updated: 1/29/2015

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012- 13	2013- 14	2014- 15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14) -

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	93	7
All Schools in District	96	4
High-Poverty Schools in District	96	4
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0	0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	2010 Adoption	Yes	0.0
	Holt, Rinehart and Winston		
	Holt Literature and Language Arts for grades 7-8		
	Holt Rinehart and Winston		
	Holt Literature for grades 9-12		
Mathematics	2014 Adoption	Yes	0.0
	CPM Educational Programs		
	Core Connections, Courses 2, and 3 (grades 7 & 8)		
	2014 Adoption		
	CPM Educational Programs		
	Core Connections Integrated 1, 2, and 3		
	Precalculus with Trigonometry		
	2007 Adoption		
	McDougal Little		
	Algebra Readiness		
	McDougal Little CA Math Course 1, Course 2, Algebra 1		
	McDougal Little Geometry and Algebra		
	Prentice Hall		
	Precalculus		
	UCLA Math Dept		
	Intervention Program - Introduction to Algebra		
Science	2007 Adoption	Yes	0.0

Prentice Hall

	Conceptual Physics, Physical Science and Chemistry		
	Holt		
	Earth Science		
	Glencoe McGraw Hill		
	CA Series grade 7 and 8 (Earth Science, Life Science)		
History-Social Science	2005 Adoption	Yes	0.0
	Pearson Prentice Hall		
	Social Studies, 2006 Gr 6-8		
	Glencoe McGraw Hill 9-12		
Foreign Language	2004 Adoption	Yes	0.0
	Prentice Hall		
	Realidades		
	McDougal Little		
	Abriendo Puertas		
Health	Glencoe/McGraw Hill	Yes	0.0
	Teen Health and Human Sexuality		
Visual and Performing Arts			0.0
Science Lab Eqpmt(9- 12)			0.0

Last updated: 2/2/2015

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

Age of School Buildings

Burney Jr. Sr. High School opened in 1969 and underwent modernization under Measure J which was approved by the voters in 2004.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for dollar basis, to assist school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Fair	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

Overall Rating

Good

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
 The Academic Deformance Index: and
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/ Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All

Students in Science – Three-Year Comparison

	Per	cent of Stud	ents Scoring	at Proficient	or Advanced	(meeting or e	exceeding the	state standa	rds)
		School			District		State		
Subject	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	67	57	63	63	59	59	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA).

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year

2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	59
All Students at the School	63
Male	72
Female	55
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	64
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	66
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: Science assessments include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades 5, 8, and 10.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

	I	Percent of Stu	Idents Scoring	at Proficient	or Advanced	(meeting or e	cceeding the s	tate standard	s)
		School			District			State	
Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	45%	56%	50%	51%	55%	53%	54%	56%	55%
Mathematics	24%	40%	36%	48%	54%	51%	49%	50%	50%
History-Social Science	51%	57%	52%	54%	55%	53%	48%	49%	49%

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012–13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

API Rank	2011	2012	2013
Statewide	7	6	7
Similar Schools	7	7	10

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2011	Actual API Change 2012	Actual API Change 2013
All Students at the School	65	5	-1
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White	43	4	7
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80	3	3
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2013-14)

Burney Junior-Senior High offers ROP classes, vocational classes and career exploration activities to help students prepare for the workforce. Students also have opportunities through the career center on campus to take career assessments, explore different careers.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2013-14)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	143
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0.0
Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0.0

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2013-14 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	37.1
2012-13 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	52.0

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):


• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.


California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison (if

applicable)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
		School			District			State	
Subject	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
English-Language Arts	58%	65%	38%	56%	56%	55%	56%	57%	56%
Mathematics	65%	58%	58%	63%	61%	70%	58%	60%	62%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.





California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2013-14) (if

applicable)

	Eng	lish-Language Art	S		Mathematics		
Group	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	
All Students in the LEA	45%	25%	31%	30%	53%	17%	
All Students at the School	63%	19%	19%	42%	42%	16%	
Male	64%	21%	14%	47%	33%	20%	
Female	61%	17%	22%	38%	50%	13%	
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
White	62%	19%	19%	35%	45%	20%	
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	63%	19%	19%	44%	50%	6%	
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Grade level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
7	25.0%	27.5%	20.0%			
9	23.5%	17.6%	35.3%			

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. We believe that parents play very important roles at Burney Junior-Senior High School through their active participation and involvement. In addition the staff believes that an active and involved parent group is essential to an active school agenda. Parents and interested citizens have the opportunity to be involved in School Site Council, Boosters Club, the Renaissance Student Recognition Program and various annual events. Parents who wish to participate in the School Site Council, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school

office.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


Last updated: 1/27/2015

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

	School				District			State		
Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
Dropout Rate	0.0	4.0	0.0	9.9	12.0	10.4	14.7	13.1	11.4	
Graduation Rate	92	96	96.15	84.62	88	88.31	77.14	78.87	80.44	



Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

	Graduating Class of 2013		
Group	School	District	State
All Students	83	98	84
Black or African American	100	100	75
American Indian or Alaska Native	100	166	77
Asian			92
Filipino			92
Hispanic or Latino	80	100	80
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		100	84
White	85	95	90
Two or More Races	100	100	89
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66	100	82
English Learners			53
Students with Disabilities	66	100	60


State Priority: School Climate


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School			District			State		
Rate	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	14.80	15.60	11.90	9.20	10.50	8.00	5.70	5.10	4.40
Expulsions	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.50	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10





Last updated: 1/27/2015

School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

Burney Junior-Senior High has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department. The school has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in

order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	N/A	Yes
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not in PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement *		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.9%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

2011-12				2012-13			2013-14					
		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Number of Classes *			Number of Classes *			
Subject	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	19.8	11	5	0	12.0	20	5		13.0	19	5	
Mathematics	18.9	7	4	0	13.0	16	1		12.0	15	4	
Science	19.0	8	2	0	14.0	10	4		12.0	15	2	
Social Science	21.0	5	4	0	12.0	13	4		13.0	14	4	

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.7	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other	1.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/30/2015

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

			Expenditures Per	
Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,756	\$767	\$6,989	\$55,785
District	N/A	N/A	\$1,207	\$49,754
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	141.09%	11.43%
State	N/A	N/A	\$4,690	\$57,912
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	39.37%	3.74%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.


- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement


Last updated: 1/27/2015

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,338	\$38,152
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$50,151	\$55,573
Highest Teacher Salary	\$68,605	\$71,908
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$82,676	\$87,660
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$00	\$92,424
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$89,992	\$93,606
Superintendent Salary	\$117,677	\$116,538
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34.0%	34.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	7.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





Last updated: 1/30/2015

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2013-14)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English	2	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics	2	N/A
Science	1	N/A
Social Science	1	N/A
All Courses	6	0.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

 $\ensuremath{^*\text{W}}\xspace$ here there are student course enrollments.

Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings