

# Mt. Burney Special Education Center

## California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year

Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### Ray Guerrero


Principal, Mt. Burney Special Education Center

## About Our School

## Contact

37577 Mountain View Rd.  
Burney, CA  
96013

Phone: 530-335-4576  
E-mail: [rquerrero@frjusd.org](mailto:rquerrero@frjusd.org)



## About This School

### Contact Information - Most Recent Year

School	
<b>School Name</b>	Mt. Burney Special Education Center
<b>Street</b>	37577 Mountain View Rd.
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Burney, Ca, 96013
<b>Phone Number</b>	530-335-4576
<b>Principal</b>	Ray Guerrero
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:rquerrero@frjUSD.org">rquerrero@frjUSD.org</a>
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.frjUSD.org">http://www.frjUSD.org</a>
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	45699896050314

District	
<b>District Name</b>	Fall River Joint Unified
<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 335-4538
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.frjUSD.org">http://www.frjUSD.org</a>
<b>Superintendent First Name</b>	Greg
<b>Superintendent Last Name</b>	Hawkins
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:ghawkins@frjUSD.org">ghawkins@frjUSD.org</a>

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Mt Burney Special Education Center serves severely handicapped students ages 3-22. The school is located in eastern Shasta County on Highway 299 East, approximately 55 east of Redding. The town of Burney has a population of 3,300 and is the most urban of the rural communities served by the Fall River Joint Unified School District.


The Board of Trustees of the Fall River Joint Unified School District believes that it is the responsibility of the school and home, working together, to provide a positive environment for students within which they may

- Realize potentials for learning
- Develop and maintain basic skills and concepts
- Develop an understanding of responsibilities to self, to family, and to community
- Develop creativity
- Enjoy learning and become lifelong learners
- Respect and appreciate different cultures
- Respect and appreciate the American heritage
- Learn in an environment that fosters a feeling of mutual respect and tolerance
- Learn in a safe, positive environment

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)**


Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 3	1
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	1
Grade 6	1
Grade 9	2
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	1
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>8</b>



Last updated: 1/27/2015

**Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)**

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	62.5
Asian	0.0
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	12.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	25.0
Two or More Races	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	87.5
English Learners	0.0
Students with Disabilities	100.0



Last updated: 1/27/2015

## A. Conditions of Learning


### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School		District	
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	0	0	1	67
Without Full Credential	1	1	0	1
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	2



Last updated: 1/29/2015

#### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 1/29/2015

**Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)**

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School		
All Schools in District		
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District		

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year**

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
Science	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
Foreign Language	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
Health	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
Visual and Performing Arts	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0.0

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

### Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

### Cleaning Process and Schedule

The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

### Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for dollar basis, to assist school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

## School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Fair	Pest/Vermin - Seasonal Yellow Jackets
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

## Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

Overall Rating	Good
----------------	------

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/ Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				63	59	59	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA).

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	59
All Students at the School	
Male	
Female	
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: Science assessments include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades 5, 8, and 10.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

**Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A	51%	55%	53%	54%	56%	55%
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	48%	54%	51%	49%	50%	50%
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	54%	55%	53%	48%	49%	49%

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012–13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

**Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison**

API Rank	2011	2012	2013
Statewide			
Similar Schools			

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Last updated: 1/27/2015



# State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

---

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. Parent participation in their child's education is very important for those students who attend Mt. Burney. In addition the staff believes that an active and involved parent group is essential to an active school agenda.

Parents who wish to participate in the School Site Council, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school office.

### State Priority: Pupil Engagement

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.



# State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.20	10.50	8.00	5.70	5.10	4.40
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10



Last updated: 1/27/2015

## School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

Mt. Burney Special Education Center has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

Last updated: 1/27/2015

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	N/A	Yes
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not in PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement *		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.9%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

\* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

2011-12		2012-13			2013-14							
Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K												
1												
2					1.0		1					
3					1.0		1		1.0		1	
4					1.0		1		1.0		1	
5					1.0		1		1.0		1	
6									1.0		1	
Other												

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)**

2011-12		2012-13			2013-14							
Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Number of FTE* Assigned to School</b>	<b>Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor</b>
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.7	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other	1.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

*Last updated: 1/30/2015*

**Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)**

<b>Level</b>	<b>Total Expenditures Per Pupil</b>	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)</b>	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)</b>	<b>Average Teacher Salary</b>
School Site	\$18,305	\$18,016	\$289	\$36,671
District	N/A	N/A	\$1,207	\$49,754
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	122.73%	30.28%
State	N/A	N/A	\$4,690	\$57,912
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	177.98%	44.92%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)**



- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)**

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,338	\$38,152
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$50,151	\$55,573
Highest Teacher Salary	\$68,605	\$71,908
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$82,676	\$87,660
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$92,424
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$89,992	\$93,606
Superintendent Salary	\$117,677	\$116,538
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34.0%	34.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	7.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/csl/>.



*Last updated: 1/30/2015*

**Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years**

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings

*Last updated: 1/27/2015*