

Mountain View High (Continuation)

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year

Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

Greg Hawkins

Principal, Mountain View High (Continuation)

About Our School

Contact

20375 Tamarack Ave.
Burney, CA
96013

Phone: 530-335-4538
E-mail: ghawkins@frjUSD.org



About This School

Contact Information - Most Recent Year

School	
School Name	Mountain View High (Continuation)
Street	20375 Tamarack Ave.
City, State, Zip	Burney, Ca, 96013
Phone Number	530-335-4538
Principal	Greg Hawkins
E-mail Address	ghawkins@frjUSD.org
Web Site	http://www.frjUSD.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	45699894530010

District	
District Name	Fall River Joint Unified
Phone Number	(530) 335-4538
Web Site	http://www.frjUSD.org
Superintendent First Name	Greg
Superintendent Last Name	Hawkins
E-mail Address	ghawkins@frjUSD.org

Last updated: 1/28/2015

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

For a variety of reasons, not all students are successful in a traditional high school setting. Some of these students find the continuation high school program better suited to their needs, and are able to work successfully toward their high school diploma. It is important to have continuation high schools and other programs such as independent study as alternatives to dropping out of school. However, while these programs can provide an atmosphere that will help many students, they still require effort on the part of the student. If the student has no motivation or academic goals at all, they will not succeed regardless of the structure of the program.


Our goal is to graduate young adults who are:

- Perceptive Thinkers
- Collaborative Contributors
- Innovative Producers
- Self-directed Achievers
- Adaptable Problem Solvers
- Effective Communicators

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)


Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	3
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	4
Grade 12	5
Total Enrollment	13



Last updated: 1/28/2015

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	46.2
Asian	0.0
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	7.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	46.2
Two or More Races	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	92.3
English Learners	0.0
Students with Disabilities	23.1



Last updated: 1/28/2015

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	1	1	1	67
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	2



Last updated: 1/29/2015

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 1/29/2015

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	0	100
All Schools in District	96	4
High-Poverty Schools in District	96	4
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0	0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2014

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0
Mathematics	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0
Science	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0
Foreign Language	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0
Health	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0
Visual and Performing Arts	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Odyssey Ware (Distant Learning)		0.0

Last updated: 1/29/2015

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

Age of School Buildings

The building was opened in 1936 as a one-room building, it was the communities only school until 1950. It was remodeled in 1950, 1954, 1976, 1985 and 1990.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for dollar basis, to assist school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year

Overall Rating	Exemplary
----------------	-----------

Last updated: 1/28/2015

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/ Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				63	59	59	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA).

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	59
All Students at the School	
Male	
Female	
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: Science assessments include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades 5, 8, and 10.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	N/A	7%	9%	51%	55%	53%	54%	56%	55%
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	48%	54%	51%	49%	50%	50%
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	17%	54%	55%	53%	48%	49%	49%

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012–13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

API Rank	2011	2012	2013
Statewide			
Similar Schools			

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2011	Actual API Change 2012	Actual API Change 2013
All Students at the School			
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2013-14)

The alternative education programs in FRJUSD offer career exploration activities to help students prepare for the workforce. Students also have opportunities to take career assessments, explore different careers

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes



The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A	56%	56%	55%	56%	57%	56%
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	63%	61%	70%	58%	60%	62%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



Last updated: 1/28/2015

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2013-14) (if applicable)

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students in the LEA	45%	25%	31%	30%	53%	17%
All Students at the School	0%	N/A	N/A	0%	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children’s education. We believe that parents play very important roles through their active participation and involvement. In addition the staff believes that an active and involved parent group is essential to an active school agenda. Parents are afforded the opportunity to be classroom volunteers, committee members and chaperones for various field trips and events.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


Last updated: 1/28/2015

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Dropout Rate	9.9	12.0	10.4	9.9	12.0	10.4	14.7	13.1	11.4
Graduation Rate	84.62	88	88.31	84.62	88	88.31	77.14	78.87	80.44



Last updated: 1/28/2015

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Group	Graduating Class of 2013		
	School	District	State
All Students	550	98	84
Black or African American		100	75
American Indian or Alaska Native		166	77
Asian			92
Filipino			92
Hispanic or Latino		100	80
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		100	84
White	400	95	90
Two or More Races		100	89
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	700	100	82
English Learners			53
Students with Disabilities		100	60



State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	36.40	35.70	29.20	9.20	10.50	8.00	5.70	5.10	4.40
Expulsions	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.30	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10



Last updated: 1/28/2015

School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

The alternative education programs have a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	N/A
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	N/A
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	N/A
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	N/A
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not in PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement *		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.9%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2011-12			2012-13			2013-14					
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	12.0	1			11.0	1			8.0	1		
Mathematics	12.0	1			11.0	1			8.0	1		
Science	12.0	1			11.0	1			8.0	1		
Social Science	12.0	1			11.0	1			8.0	1		

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.7	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other	1.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/30/2015

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,441	\$44	\$6,398	\$40,305
District	N/A	N/A	\$1,207	\$49,754
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	136.52%	20.98%
State	N/A	N/A	\$4,690	\$57,912
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	30.81%	35.85%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)



- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

Last updated: 1/28/2015

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,338	\$38,152
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$50,151	\$55,573
Highest Teacher Salary	\$68,605	\$71,908
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$82,676	\$87,660
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$92,424
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$89,992	\$93,606
Superintendent Salary	\$117,677	\$116,538
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34.0%	34.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	7.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/csl/>.



Last updated: 1/30/2015

Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings to coach teachers to improve instructional practices

Last updated: 1/28/2015