

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2012–13

For Burney Elementary Community Day School

Address: 20375 Tamarack Ave, Burney, CA 96013
Principal: Greg Hawkins

Phone: (530) 335-5189
Grade Span: K - 6

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of information related to individual public schools. Most data presented in this report are reported for the 2012–13 school year. School finances and school completion data are reported for the 2011–12 school year. Contact information, facilities, curriculum and instructional materials, and select teacher data are reported for the 2013–14 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

For a variety of reasons, not all students are successful in a traditional high school setting. Some of these students find the continuation high school program better suited to their needs, and are able to work successfully toward their high school diploma. It is important to have continuation high schools and other programs such as independent study as alternatives to dropping out of school. However, while these programs can provide an atmosphere that will help many students, they still require effort on the part of the student. If the student has no motivation or academic goals at all, they will not succeed regardless of the structure of the program.

Student Enrollment

Group	Enrollment
Number of students	5
Black or African American	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	20%%
Asian	0%
Filipino	0%
Hispanic or Latino	0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0%
White	60%
Two or More Races	20%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100%
English Learners	0%
Students with Disabilities	20%

Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	1
Teachers without full credential	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0

Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on STAR ¹ Program Results
English-Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%

Academic Progress²

Indicator	Result
2013 Growth API Score (from 2013 Growth API Report)	*
Statewide Rank (from 2012 Base API Report)	*
Met All 2013 AYP Requirements	No
Number of AYP Criteria Met Out of the Total Number of Criteria Possible	Met 3 of 4
2013–14 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	No

School Facilities

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

Repairs Needed

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for dollar basis, to assist school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Area	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%
Foreign Language	0%
Health	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	0%

School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$15,897
District	\$ 6,645
State	\$ 5,537

¹ Standardized Testing and Reporting Program assessments used for accountability purposes include the California Standards Tests, the California Modified Assessment, and the California Alternate Performance Assessment.

² The Academic Performance Index is required under state law. Adequate Yearly Progress is required by federal law.

California Department of Education
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2012–13 School Year
Published During 2013–14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the *2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide* located on the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

II. About This School

District Contact Information (School Year 2013–14)

District Name	Fall River Joint Unified School District
Phone Number	(530) 335-4538
Web Site	http://frjUSD.org
Superintendent	Greg Hawkins
E-mail Address	ghawkins@frjUSD.org

School Contact Information (School Year 2013–14)

School Name	Burney Elementary Community Day School
Street	20375 Tamarack Avenue
City, State, Zip	Burney, CA 96013
Phone Number	(530) 335-7159
Principal	Greg Hawkins
E-mail Address	ghawkins@frjUSD.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	45699896119382

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012–13)

For a variety of reasons, not all students are successful in a traditional high school setting. Some of these students find the continuation high school program better suited to their needs, and are able to work successfully toward their high school diploma. It is important to have continuation high schools and other programs such as independent study as alternatives to dropping out of school. However, while these programs can provide an atmosphere that will help many students, they still require effort on the part of the student. If the student has no motivation or academic goals at all, they will not succeed regardless of the structure of the program.

Our goal is to graduate young adults who are:

- Perceptive Thinkers
- Collaborative Contributors
- Innovative Producers
- Self-directed Achievers
- Adaptable Problem Solvers
- Effective Communicators

The mission of our Community Day Schools is to meet the California Department of Education regulation 48916.1 (a) "At the time an expulsion of a pupil is ordered, the governing board of the school district shall ensure that an education program is provided to the pupil who is subject to the expulsion order for the period of the expulsion", the Fall River Joint Unified School District established the Community Day School Program. This program provides students the opportunity to earn readmission to the regular program at the end of the required term and to prepare those who do not qualify for readmission for the next steps in their educational career.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012–13)

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. We believe that parents play very important roles through their active participation and involvement. In addition the staff believes that an active and involved parent group is essential to an active school agenda. Parents are afforded the opportunity to be classroom volunteers, committee members and chaperones for various field trips and events.

III. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- > **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- > **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three

through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.

- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13
English-Language Arts	*	*	*	51%	55%	53%	54%	56%	55%
Mathematics	*	*	*	48%	54%	51%	49%	50%	50%
Science	*	*	*	67%	63%	59%	57%	60%	59%
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	54%	55%	53%	48%	49%	49%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	53%	51%	59%	53%
All Students at the School	*	*	*	N/A
Male	*	*	*	N/A
Female	*	*	*	N/A
Black or African American	*	*	*	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	N/A
Filipino	*	*	*	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	N/A
White	*	*	*	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	*	*	*	N/A
English Learners	*	*	*	N/A
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012–13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percent of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percent of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	*	*	*

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	*	*	*
Similar Schools	*	*	*

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2010–11	Actual API Change 2011–12	Actual API Change 2012–13
All Students at the School	N/D	N/D	N/D
Black or African American	N/D	N/D	N/D
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/D	N/D	N/D
Asian	N/D	N/D	N/D
Filipino	N/D	N/D	N/D
Hispanic or Latino	N/D	N/D	N/D
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/D	N/D	N/D
White	N/D	N/D	N/D
Two or More Races	N/D	N/D	N/D
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/D	N/D	N/D
English Learners	N/D	N/D	N/D
Students with Disabilities	N/D	N/D	N/D

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School	N/D	N/D	816	791	4,655,989	790
Black or African American	N/D	N/D	3	*	296,463	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/D	N/D	88	706	30,394	743
Asian	N/D	N/D	2	*	406,527	906
Filipino	N/D	N/D	3	*	121,054	867
Hispanic or Latino	N/D	N/D	170	782	2,438,951	744
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/D	N/D	2	*	25,531	774
White	N/D	N/D	528	814	1,200,127	853
Two or More Races	N/D	N/D	16	695	*	*
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/D	N/D	561	755	2,774,640	743
English Learners	N/D	N/D	71	730	1,482,316	721
Students with Disabilities	N/D	N/D	133	619	527,476	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012–13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013–14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator

(API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not In PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	9%

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012–13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	1
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	1
Grade 6	2
Total Enrollment	5

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2012–13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	20%
Asian	0%
Filipino	0%
Hispanic or Latino	0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0%
White	60%
Two or More Races	20%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100%
English Learners	0%
Students with Disabilities	20%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2011–12 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2012–13 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K									0			
1									0			
2									1	1		
3									0			
4									1	1		
5									1	1		
6									2	1		
Other									0			

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012–13)

Burney Elementary Community Day has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	School 2012–13	District 2010–11	District 2011–12	District 2012–13
Suspensions	0	17 days assigned to 5 students	33 days assigned to 6 students	749 days assigned to 121 students	981 days assigned to 111 students	1,000 days assigned to 122 students
Expulsions	0	0	0	.42%	.8%	5 students

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013–14)

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for dollar basis, to assist school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013–14)

System Inspected	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned			
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate (School Year 2013–14)

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X			

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	School 2012–13	District 2012–13
With Full Credential	1	1	1	80
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011–12	2012–13	2013–14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012–13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100%	0%
All Schools in District	90.83%	9.17%
High-Poverty Schools in District	90.83%	9.17
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0%	0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012–13)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	N/A
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	1 FTE Districtwide	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.66 FTE Districtwide	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013–14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	2010 Adoption Macmillian/McGraw Hill/California Treasures for grades K-6	Yes	0
Mathematics	2008 Adoption Pearson Scott Foresman/envision Math California for grades K-6	Yes	0
Science	2007 Adoption Houghton Mifflin California, 2007 for grades K-6	Yes	0
History-Social Science	2005 Adoption Houghton Mifflin Social Science, 2007 grades K-6	Yes	0

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011–12)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$15,897	\$ 0	\$15,897	\$40,3051
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,645	\$44,911
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	58.19%	-11.30%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,537	\$58,606
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	65.16%	-45.24%

Note: Cells shaded in black or with N/A values do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012–13)

- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Johnson O'Malley Indian Education
- Economic Impact Aid (EIA)
- Federal, ECIA/ESEA/IASA
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011–12)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,025	\$38,390
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$49,753	\$55,793
Highest Teacher Salary	\$68,013	\$72,306
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$80,893	\$88,846
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	*	\$92,801
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$88,089	\$95,916
Superintendent Salary	\$121,400	\$116,026
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	7%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>.

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
Dropout Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.9	9.9	12.	16.6	14.7	13.10
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.48%	84.44%	88%	74.72%	76.26%	78.54%

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Graduating Class of 2012

Group	School	District	State
All Students	N/A	66	418598
Black or African American	N/A	*	28078
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	7	3123
Asian	N/A	*	41700
Filipino	N/A	*	12745
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	16	193516
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	*	2585
White	N/A	41	127801
Two or More Races	N/A	1	6790
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	35	217915
English Learners	N/A	8	93297
Students with Disabilities	N/A	7	31683

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings to coach teachers to improve instructional practices

Burney Elementary Community Day School
Fall River Joint Unified School District

School Accountability Report Card 2012-2013
Data provided by DataQuest

For more information visit <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>