## School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year

Published During 2012-13
Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## I. Data and Access

## Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

| School |  | District |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Burney Junior-Senior High | District Name | Fall River Joint Unified |
| Street | 37571 Mountain View Rd. | Phone Number | (530) 335-4538 |
| City, State, Zip | Burney, CA, 96013 | Web Site | www.frjusd.org |
| Phone Number | (530) 335-4576 | Superintendent | Greg Hawkins |
| Principal | Ray Guerrero, Principal | E-mail Address | ghawkins@frjusd.org |
| E-mail Address | rguerrero@frjusd.org | CDS Code | 45699894531000 |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

Burney Jr. Sr. High School serves students in seventh through twelfth grades. The school is located in eastern Shasta County on Highway 299 East, approximately 55 east of Redding. The town of Burney has a population of 3,300 and is the most urban of the rural communities served by the Fall River Joint Unified School District.
The Board of Trustees of the Fall River Joint Unified School District believes that it is the responsibility of the school and home, working together, to provide a positive environment for students within which they may:

- Realize potentials for learning
- Develop and maintain basic skills and concepts
- Develop an understanding of responsibilities to self, to family, and to community
- Develop creativity
- Enjoy learning and become lifelong learners
- Respect and appreciate different cultures
- Respect and appreciate the American heritage
- Learn in an environment that fosters a feeling of mutual respect and tolerance
- Learn in a safe and positive environment


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. We believe that parents play very important roles at BJSHS through their active participation and involvement. In addition the staff believes that an active and involved parent group is essential to an active school agenda. Parents and interested citizens have the opportunity to be involved in School Site Council, Boosters Club, the Renaissance Student Recognition Program and various annual events. Parents who wish to participate in the School Site Council, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school office.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

| Grade Level | Number of Students | Grade Level | Number of Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Grade 7 | 43 | Grade 10 | 46 |
| Grade 8 | 44 | Grade 11 | 34 |
| Grade 9 | 29 | Grade 12 | 25 |
|  |  | Total Enrollment | 221 |

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2011-12)

| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| :--- | ---: |
| Black or African American |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.5 \%$ |
| Filipino | $10.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $1.4 \%$ |
| White | $16.7 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $69.7 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | $0.5 \%$ |
| English Learners | $53.4 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $5.4 \%$ |

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | 2009-10 Number of Classes* |  |  | Avg. Class Size | 2010-11 Number of Classes* |  |  | Avg. Class Size | 2011-12 Number of Classes* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23- \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $33+$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23- \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $33+$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23- \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $33+$ |
| English | 13.6 | 12 |  |  | 17.8 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 19.8 | 11 | 5 | 0 |
| Mathematics | 17.5 | 12 |  |  | 18.9 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 18.9 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Science | 13.2 | 12 |  |  | 18.6 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 19.0 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| Social Science | 15.4 | 14 |  |  | 18.4 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 21.0 | 5 | 4 | 0 |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.


## III. School Climate

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

Burney Jr. Sr. High has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department. The school has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate* | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Suspensions | $30.6 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $23 \%$ |  |
| Expulsions | 0 | $3 \%$ | $.4 \%$ | $.42 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |  |

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.


## IV. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

Age of School Buildings
Burney Jr. Sr. High School opened in 1969 and underwent modernization under Measure J which was approved by the voters in 2004.
Maintenance and Repair
District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule
The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.
Deferred Maintenance Budget
The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for dollar basis, to assist school district with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken <br> or Planned |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Exemplary | Good | Fair |  |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, <br> Sewer |  |  |  | X | Repairs needed to sewer system, as funds <br> become available repairs will be made |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces |  | X |  |  |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ <br> Vermin Infestation |  | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical |  | X |  |  |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ <br> Fountains |  | X |  |  |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials |  | X |  |  |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs |  |  | X |  |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, <br> Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences |  | X |  |  |  |
| Overall Rating |  | X |  |  |  |

Note: shaded cells do not require data.

## V. Teachers

## Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School <br> 2009-10 | School <br> 2010-11 | School <br> 2011-12 | District <br> 2011-12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With Full Credential | 20 | 15 | 16 | 80 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence <br> (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**This is includes classroom teachers, and itinerant or pull-out/Push-in teacher with SEID. This does not include administrators or pupil services.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 \mathbf { 1 2 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments* | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.


## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

| Location of <br> Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic <br> Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified <br> Teachers | Percent of Classes In Core Academic <br> Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified <br> Teachers |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| This School | $96.49 \%$ |  | $3.51 \%$ |
| All Schools in <br> District | $89.76 \%$ | $10.24 \%$ |  |
| High-Poverty <br> Schools in District | $89.76 \%$ | $10.24 \%$ |  |
| Low-Poverty <br> Schools in District | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

| Title | Number of FTE* Assigned to <br> School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Academic Counselor | 1 FTE Districtwide (BHS and FRH) | 221 at BHS and 235 at FRH |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career <br> Development) | 0 |  |
| Library Media Teacher (librarian) | 0 |  |
| Library Media Services Staff <br> (paraprofessional) | 1FTE |  |
| Psychologist | 1FTE District wide |  |


| Social Worker |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nurse |  |  |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 1 FTE shared with Burney Elementary |  |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | and Mt Burney Center |  |
| Other | 0 |  |
| N | 0 |  |

Note: shaded cells do not require data.

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.


## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)
This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2012

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption | From most recent adoption? | Percent students lacking own assigned copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | 2010 Adoption Holt, Rinehart and Winston/Holt Literature and Language Arts for grades 7-8 Holt Rinehart and Winston/ Holt Literature for grades 9-12 | Yes | O\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 Adoption <br> McDougal Little Algebra Readiness <br> McDougal Little CA Math Course 1, <br> Course 2, <br> Algebra 1 <br> McDougal Little Geometry and <br> Algebra <br> Prentice Hall Precalculus <br> UCLA Math Dept Intervention <br> Program- <br> Introduction to Algebra | Yes | 0\% |
| Science | 2007 Adoption <br> Prentice Hall: Conceptual Physics, <br> Physical <br> Science and Chemistry <br> Holt: Earth Science <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill: CA Series <br> grade 7 and 8 <br> (Earth Science, Life Science) | Yes | 0\% |
| History-Social Science | 2007 Adoption <br> Prentice Hall: Conceptual Physics, <br> Physical <br> Science and Chemistry <br> Holt: Earth Science <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill: CA Series <br> grade 7 and 8 <br> (Earth Science, Life Science) | Yes | 0\% |
| Foreign Language | 2007 Adoption <br> Prentice Hall: Conceptual Physics, Physical <br> Science and Chemistry <br> Holt: Earth Science <br> Glencoe McGraw Hill: CA Series | Yes | 0\% |


|  | grade 7 and 8 <br> (Earth Science, Life Science) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health | Glencoe/McGraw Hill/Teen Health <br> and Human <br> Sexuality | Yes |  |
| Visual and Performing Arts |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Science Laboratory <br> Equipment (grades 9-12) |  |  |  |

## VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

| Level | Total <br> Expenditures Per <br> Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil <br> (Supplemental / <br> Restricted) | Expenditures Per <br> Pupil (Basic / <br> Unrestricted) | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Site | $\$ 9,477$ |  | $\$ 1,298$ | $\$ 8,178$ |
| District |  |  | $\$ 7,530$ | $\$ 50,022$ |
| Percent Difference <br> - School Site and <br> District |  |  | 851,165 |  |
| State |  |  | $8.6 \%$ | $-2.2 \%$ |
| Percent Difference <br> -School Site and <br> State |  |  | $\$ 5,455$ | $\$ 57,948$ |

Note: Shaded cells do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education \& Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Johnson O'Malley Indian Education
- Economic Impact Aid (EIA)
- Federal, ECIA/ESEA/IASA
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

| Category | District Amount | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 36,025$ | $\$ 38,099$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 49,753$ | $\$ 55,582$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 67,395$ | $\$ 71,884$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 92,266$ | $\$ 88,790$ |


| Average Principal Salary (Middle) |  | $\$ 93,527$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 132,655$ | $\$ 93,519$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $35.00 \%$ | $\$ 95,671$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $7.00 \%$ | $\$ 115,401$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $34.00 \%$ |  |

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

## IX. Student Performance

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| English-Language Arts | 37\% | 45\% | 56\% | 49\% | 51\% | 55\% | 52\% | 54\% | 56\% |
| Mathematics | 25\% | 24\% | 40\% | 49\% | 48\% | 54\% | 48\% | 50\% | 51\% |
| Science | 51\% | 71\% | 67\% | 56\% | 67\% | 63\% | 54\% | 57\% | 60\% |
| History-Social Science | 48\% | 51\% | 57\% | 48\% | 54\% | 55\% | 44\% | 48\% | 49\% |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | English- Language <br> Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social <br> Science |
| All Students in the LEA | $55 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| All Students at the School | $56 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Male | $51 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Female | $62 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $67 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $44 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| White | $56 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | $49 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education <br> Services | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| English-Language Arts | 43\% | 59\% | 58\% | 39\% | 65\% | 56\% | 54\% | 59\% | 56\% |
| Mathematics | 43\% | 71\% | 65\% | 46\% | 67\% | 63\% | 54\% | 56\% | 58\% |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year (if applicable)

| Group | English-Language Arts |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Not <br> Proficient | Proficient | Advanced | Not <br> Proffcient | Profficient | Advanced |
| All Students in the LEA | $44 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| All Students at the School | $42 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Male | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Female | $53 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $54 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| White | $37 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | $50 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)
The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

| Grade Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |  |
|  | $23.10 \%$ | $23.10 \%$ | $17.90 \%$ |  |
| 9 | $30.80 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ | $34.60 \%$ |  |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## X. Accountability

## Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000 , with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10 . A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Statewide | 5 |  | 4 |
| Similar Schools | 3 | 2 | 7 |

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

| Group | Actual API Change <br> 2009-10 | Actual API Change <br> 2010-11 | Actual API Change <br> 2011-12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students at the School | 11 |  | 65 |
| White | 24 | 43 | -3 |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | 10 | 80 | -7 |

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

| Group | 2012 Growth API |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students | School | Number of Students | L-EA | Number of Students | State |
| All Students at the School | 166 | 776 | 773 | 796 | 4,664,264 | 788 |
| Black or African American | 1 |  | 4 |  | 313,201 | 710 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 15 | 778 | 78 | 747 | 31,606 | 742 |
| Asian | 0 |  | 4 |  | 404,670 | 905 |
| Filipino | 3 |  | 4 |  | 124,824 | 869 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 29 | 786 | 156 | 786 | 2,425,230 | 740 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 |  | 3 |  | 26,563 | 775 |
| White | 116 | 772 | 501 | 811 | 1,221,860 | 853 |
| Two or More Races | 2 |  | 14 | 773 | 88,428 | 849 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 80 | 737 | 430 | 757 | 2,779,680 | 737 |
| English Learners | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1,530,297 | 716 |
| Students with Disabilities | 17 | 509 | 113 | 620 | 530,935 | 607 |

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Made AYP Overall | No | No |
| Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate - Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics | No | No |
| Met API Criteria | Yes | Yes |
| Met Graduation Rate | N/A | No |

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI
identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page:
http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status |  | Not In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement |  |  |
| Year in Program Improvement |  |  |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement |  | 1 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement |  | $10.0 \%$ |

Note: shaded cells do not require data.

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

## Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

## University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.
For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

## California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $2008-$ <br> 09 | $2009-$ <br> 10 | $2010-$ <br> 11 | $2008-$ <br> 09 | $2009-$ <br> 10 | $2010-$ <br> 11. | $2008-$ <br> 09 | $2009-$ <br> 10 | $2010-$ <br> 111 |
|  |  | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 13.9 | 11.1 |  | 16.6 | 14.4 |
| Graduation <br> Rate |  | 91.89 | 92.00 |  | 81.48 | 84.44 |  | 74.72 | 76.26 |

Note: shaded cells do not require data.

## Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2011-12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local
graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

| Group | Graduating Class of 2012 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | School |  | District |
| All Students | 24 | 66 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 3 | 7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 5 | 16 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| White | 16 | 41 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 10 | 36 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| English Learners | 1 | 6 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 1 | 7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2011-12)

Burney Jr. Sr. High offers ROP classes, vocational classes and career exploration activities to help students prepare for the workforce. Students also have opportunities through the career center on campus to take career assessments, explore different careers

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2011-12)

| Measure | CTE Program <br> Participation |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 20 of 24 or $83 \%$ |
| Percent of pupils completing a CTE course and earning a high school diploma | 0 |
| Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of <br> postsecondary education | ( |

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | $31.8 \%$ |
| 2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | $4.3 \%$ |

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011-12)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Computer Science | 0 |  |
| English | 0 |  |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 |  |
| Foreign Language | 0 |  |
| Mathematics | 1 |  |
| Science | 0 |  |
| Social Science | 0 |  |
| All courses | 1 |  |

Note: shaded cells do not require data. *Where there are student course enrollments.

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings
to coach teachers to improve instructional practices.
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