

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010–11 School Year

Published During 2011–12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011–12)

School		District	
School Name	Mt. Burney Special Education Center	District Name	Fall River Joint Unified
Street	37577 Mountain View Rd.	Phone Number	(530) 335-4538
City, State, Zip	Burney, CA, 96013	Web Site	www.frjUSD.org
Phone Number	(530) 335-4576	Superintendent	Greg Hawkins
Principal	Ray Guerrero, Principal	E-mail Address	ghawkins@frjUSD.org
E-mail Address	rguerrero@frjUSD.org	CDS Code	45699896050314

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010–11)

Mt Burney Special Education Center serves severely handicapped students ages 3-22. The school is located in eastern Shasta County on Highway 299 East, approximately 55 east of Redding. The town of Burney has a population of 3,300 and is the most urban of the rural communities served by the Fall River Joint Unified School District.

The Board of Trustees of the Fall River Joint Unified School District believes that it is the responsibility of the school and home, working together, to provide a positive environment for students within which they may

- Realize potentials for learning
- Develop and maintain basic skills and concepts
- Develop an understanding of responsibilities to self, to family, and to community
- Develop creativity
- Enjoy learning and become lifelong learners
- Respect and appreciate different cultures
- Respect and appreciate the American heritage
- Learn in an environment that fosters a feeling of mutual respect and tolerance
- Learn in a safe, positive environment

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010–11)

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. Parent participation in their child's education is very important for those students who attend Mt. Burney. In addition the staff believes that an active and involved parent group is essential to an active school agenda.

Parents who wish to participate in the School Site Council, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school office.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010–11)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	1
Grade 1	1	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	0	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	1	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	0	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	0	Grade 12	1
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	1	Total Enrollment	5

Student Enrollment by Subgroup (School Year 2010–11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	40.0%
Asian	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	20.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	40.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80.0%
English Learners	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	100.0%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

Mt. Burney is a self-contained classroom with one teacher.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010–11)

Mt. Burney has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2008–09	District 2009–10	District 2010–11
Suspensions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	18.00%	20.9%	23%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.50%	0.42%	0.8%

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011–12)

Mt. Burney has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California

Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011–12)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation				X	Continuing issue with Yellow Jackets due to proximity to wooded area. We continue to monitor and treat as necessary
Electrical: Electrical				X	REPAIRED 2012: Added additional electrical circuits
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				X	REPAIRED 2012: Back gate was replaced, fence to playground was repaired and front door was fixed.
Overall Rating			X		

Note: Cells shaded do not require data.

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2010–11
With Full Credential	1	1	1	67
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010–11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100%	0
All Schools in District	97.3%	2.7%
High-Poverty Schools in District	97.96%	2.04%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0%	100%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010–11)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1 FTE for the District	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	
Psychologist	1 FTE for the District	
Social Worker	0	

Nurse	.66 FTE for the District	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.25 FET	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	0	

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011–12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: Dec 2011

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	The curriculum is based on the Special Education Alternate Curriculum	Yes	0%
Mathematics			
Science			
History-Social Science			
Foreign Language			
Health			
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$33,720	\$32,983	\$736	\$70,442
District			\$7,104	\$51,430
Percent Difference – School Site and District			N/A	N/A

District				
State			\$5,455	\$57,163
Percent Difference – School Site and State			N/A	N/A

Note: Cells shaded do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
Title VII Indian Ed
Johnson O’Malley Indian Education
Economic Impact Aid (EIA)
Federal, ECIA/ESEA/IASA
Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$36,025	\$37,978
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$49,753	\$55,252
Highest Teacher Salary	\$67,395	\$71,674
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$91,352	\$87,651
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$92,196
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$91,352	\$93,352
Superintendent Salary	\$129,211	\$116,851
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37.00%	34.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.00%	7.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
English-Language Arts	0%	0%	0%	45%	49%	51%	50%	52%	54%
Mathematics	0%	0%	0%	42%	49%	48%	46%	48%	50%
Science	0%	0%	0%	56%	56%	67%	50%	53%	56%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	41%	48%	54%	41%	44%	48%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students in the LEA	51%	48%	67%	54%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010–11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school’s test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

This school had fewer than 11 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) test scores. No reliable Academic Performance Index (API) can be calculated with so few scores.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School			757	800	4,683,676	778
Black or African American			5		317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native			72	728	33,774	733
Asian			3		398,869	898
Filipino			2		123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino			142	798	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			3		26,953	764
White			507	808	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races			14	819	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			399	775	2,731,843	726
English Learners			1		1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities			107	651	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010–11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011–12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web page*: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		9.1%

Note: Cells shaded do not require data.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

The staff works with students and families to design educational programs that prepare students with disabilities for life after leaving school. Desired results are improved academic and functional achievement that will offer students choices in adult life including continued education, employment, and the ability to assume adult roles.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings to coach teachers to improve instructional practices.