# Burney Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

**Published During 2018-19** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

#### Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

	(65.1001 1041 1010 13)		
School Contact Info	School Contact Information		
School Name	Burney Elementary School		
Street	37403 Toronto Avenue		
City, State, Zip	Burney, CA 96013		
Phone Number	530-335-2279		
Principal	Marcy Schmidt		
E-mail Address	mschmidt@frjusd.org		
Web Site	http://www.bes.frjusd.org		
CDS Code	45699896050272		

District Contact Information		
<b>District Name</b>	Fall River Joint Unified School District	
Phone Number	(530) 335-4538	
Superintendent	Greg Hawkins	
E-mail Address	ghawkins@frjusd.org	
Web Site	http://www.frjusd.org	

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Burney Elementary School (BES) is located in eastern Shasta County approximately 55 east of Redding on Highway 299 East. The town of Burney has a population of about 3,300 and is the most urban of the rural communities served by the Fall River Joint Unified School

Burney Elementary opened in 1952.

The mission of BES is to provide a safe and secure environment in which students are free to pursue a comprehensive curriculum in order to achieve their maximum potential. Our definition of a comprehensive curriculum includes all aspects of school life, academic, social, co and extracurricular.

The Board of Trustees of the Fall River Joint Unified School District believes that it is the responsibility of the school and home, working together, to positive environment for students within which they may:

- Realize potentials for learning
- Develop and maintain basic skills and concepts
- Develop an understanding of responsibilities to self, to family and to community
- Develop creativity
- Enjoy learning and become lifelong learners
- Respect and appreciate different cultures
- Respect and appreciate the American heritage
- Learn in an environment that fosters a feeling of mutual respect and tolerance
- Learn in a safe and positive environment

# Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	65
Grade 1	54
Grade 2	45
Grade 3	50
Grade 4	50
Grade 5	60
Grade 6	49
Total Enrollment	373

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	12.6
Asian	0.3
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	22.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	57.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	67.0
English Learners	7.2
Students with Disabilities	10.5
Foster Youth	0.3

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### **Teacher Credentials**

<b>T</b>		District		
Teachers	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	18	17	17	68
Without Full Credential	2	1	1	5
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	2018 Adoption Cengage Learning REACH by National Geographic Learning (K-6)	Yes	0
Mathematics	2014 Adoption Houghton Mifflin Harcourt CA Math Expressions Common Core (K-6)	Yes	0
Science	2007 Adoption Delta Education, Inc. Full Option Science System (FOSS) for Grades K-5 Glencoe McGraw-Hill Glencoe Science Focus on Series CA Edition - Focus on Earth Science Grade 6	Yes	0
History-Social Science	2018 Adoption Studies Weekly (Online) (TK-6)	Yes	0
Health	TK-6 Second Step by Community for Children 3-6 Life Skills Training - Promoting Health and Personal Development (1998-1999)		

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

# **Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection**

The district ensures that all schools are clean, safe and functional. Maintenance staff completes the facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction to monitor the condition of the facilities. The results of this survey are available at the district office.

#### **Maintenance and Repair**

District maintenance staff ensures that repairs are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

## **Cleaning Process and Schedule**

The site administrator monitors the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

# **School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)  Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2018						
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned				
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good					
Electrical: Electrical	Good					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good					

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)** 

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2018	
Overall Rating	Exemplary

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	28.0	29.0	43.0	38.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	21.0	28.0	31.0	35.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	203	198	97.54	28.79
Male	93	89	95.70	21.35
Female	110	109	99.09	34.86
American Indian or Alaska Native	27	25	92.59	16.00
Asian			-	
Hispanic or Latino	49	48	97.96	18.75
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1	1	
White	116	114	98.28	35.96
Two or More Races			-	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	143	140	97.90	22.86
English Learners	16	16	100.00	18.75
Students with Disabilities	28	26	92.86	19.23
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	-	-	1	
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	202	197	97.52	27.92
Male	92	88	95.65	30.68
Female	110	109	99.09	25.69
American Indian or Alaska Native	27	25	92.59	40
Asian				
Hispanic or Latino	48	47	97.92	17.02
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			-	
White	116	114	98.28	29.82
Two or More Races	1	-	1	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	142	139	97.89	26.62
English Learners	16	16	100	6.25
Students with Disabilities	28	26	92.86	15.38
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	-	-	-	
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five. Eight. and Ten

	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard							
Subject	School		Dist	trict	State			
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Level Four of Six Standards		Six of Six Standards				
5	22.6	24.5	28.3				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

# Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

We are committed to communicating with and engaging parents as partners in their children's education. We believe that parents play a very important role through their active participation and involvement in School Site Council, PTA, Advisory Committees, various events, and as classroom volunteers.

Beginning with Back-to School night, which is held annually, parents are invited to visit the school and observe the many activities available for students. Being part of a small community, Burney Elementary is a focal point and center for students, their parents and the community.

Communication between school and home is made using several methods, including the Schoool Messenger Automated Caller System, District and School websites, emails, text messaging, bulletins, school mailings, and the community newsletter.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

D-4-	School			District			State		
Rate	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	6.4	0.0	3.0	8.0	0.0	7.2	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Burney Elementary has a Comprehensive Safety and Response Plan which meets the state requirements described in California Education Code Sections 35294-35297. The plan was developed in cooperation with the Shasta County Sheriff's Department and has conducted school wide drills in order to better prepare in the event of an actual emergency. This plan includes disaster procedures, procedures for safe entry and exit of students, procedures for serious disciplinary problems, a sexual harassment policy, child abuse reporting procedures and school discipline policies. In addition, at the beginning of the 2018-2019 school year, all District employees participated in the ALICE (Alert, Lockdown, Inform, Counter, Evacuate) Basic Training Certification with a "live" drill following. ALICE teaches how students and staff can most effectively respond to an Active Shooter situation. The plan is reviewed annually at the start of school in order to ensure that new staff is familiar with all details.

# D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	2015-16			2016-17				2017-18				
Grade	Avg.	vg. Number of Classes		Avg.	Avg. Number of Classes			Avg. Number of Classes			sses	
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	21	1	2		24		3		21	1	2	
1	24		2		25		2		17	3		
2	26		2		20	2			21	1	1	
3	27		2		20	3			25		2	
4	24		2		28		2		21		2	
5	26		2		27		2		25		2	0
6	17	2	1		25		2		25		2	0
Other					14	1			16	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	0	0		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.4	N/A		
Psychologist	.11	N/A		
Social Worker	0	N/A		
Nurse	.11	N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5	N/A		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1	N/A		
Other	1	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)** 

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,175.0	\$897.0	\$4,278.0	\$55,742.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$9,190.0	\$58,130
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-72.9	-4.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$63,590
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-49.9	-13.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

- Title I, Part A (Basic Grant)
- Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality)
- Title VII Indian Ed
- Federal, Special Education, Entitlement

# Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,979	\$42,990
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$69,989	\$61,614
Highest Teacher Salary	\$78,481	\$85,083
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$100,116	\$100,802
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$105,404
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$110,923	\$106,243
Superintendent Salary	\$161,478	\$132,653
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	31.0	30.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.0	6.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

# **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Three days are provided on the school calendar for professional development. The district collaborates with all school sites to provide teachers and administrators with ongoing professional development to improve teaching and learning in the areas of English Language arts, mathematics, science, and history-social studies, with added training on meeting the needs of the "whole student." Student equity is also emphasized. Areas of focus include differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Throughout the year, site administrators hold staff meetings to coach teachers to improve instructional practices.